

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

MARIA GUADALUPE DIAZ , et al.

Plaintiff(s),

v.

CITY OF TORRANCE, et al.

Defendant(s).

Case No.: 2:25-cv-03389-JLS-JC

**ORDER SETTING SCHEDULING
CONFERENCE FOR AUGUST 15,
2025 AT 10:30 AM, COURTROOM
8A, FIRST STREET
COURTHOUSE**

Judge Staton's Procedures web page is incorporated in this Order.

The parties and counsel are ORDERED to review and comply with those procedures and notices, which may be accessed at:

<http://www.cacd.uscourts.gov/honorable-josephine-l-staton>.

This case has been assigned to Judge Josephine L. Staton. If plaintiff has not already served the complaint (or any amendment thereto) on all defendants, plaintiff shall promptly do so and shall file proofs of service within three (3) days thereafter. Defendants also shall timely serve and file their responsive pleadings and file proofs of service within three days thereafter.

This case is set for a scheduling conference under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(b) on the date and time stated in the caption of this Order, in

1 Courtroom 8A of the First Street Courthouse, 350 West 1st Street, Los Angeles,
2 California. Unless excused for good cause shown in advance of the scheduling
3 conference, lead counsel shall appear at the scheduling conference and at all
4 pretrial hearings fully informed concerning the facts of the case. If the Court
5 determines that a Scheduling Order can be issued based on the Joint Rule 26(f)
6 Report, the scheduling conference will be vacated.

7
8 *Attached to this Order, as Exhibit A, are the Court's presumptive dates.*
9 *Parties wishing to deviate from this schedule shall provide the Court with reasons*
10 *for each suggested change. A Joint Rule 26(f) Report that is filed without a*
11 *fully completed Exhibit A will be rejected by the Court and may subject the*
12 *parties to sanctions.*

13
14 In civil cases, the Court defers setting a trial date and an exhibit conference
15 date until the parties appear for the Final Pretrial Conference. The parties are
16 expected to address these issues at the Final Pretrial Conference; therefore, the
17 parties are directed to confer before the Final Pretrial Conference to identify
18 mutually agreeable trial date(s) within the 90 days following the Final Pretrial
19 Conference. Where the Court's trial calendar permits, the Court will set the trial
20 for a date agreed upon by the parties.

21
22 **1. Joint Rule 26(f) Report**

23 As provided in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f), the parties shall meet at least 21 days
24 before the scheduling conference and file a Joint 26(f) Report ("Report") no later
25 than 14 days before the date set for the scheduling conference. The Report shall
26 be drafted by plaintiff (unless the parties agree otherwise), but shall be submitted
27 and signed jointly. "Jointly" contemplates a single report, regardless of how many
28 separately represented parties there are.

1 The Report shall discuss the issues described below. Counsel are to ensure
2 that their discussions of these issues fully address the topics identified by Federal
3 Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f)(3)(A)-(F) and Local Rule 26-1(a)-(f).

4 **a. Statement of the case:** a short synopsis (not to exceed two
5 pages) of the main claims, counterclaims, and affirmative defenses.

6 **b. Legal issues:** a brief description of the key legal issues,
7 including any unusual substantive, procedural, or evidentiary issues.

8 **c. Damages:** the realistic range of provable damages.

9 **d. Insurance:** whether there is insurance coverage, the extent of
10 coverage, and whether there is a reservation of rights.

11 **e. Motions:** a statement of the likelihood of motions seeking to add
12 other parties or claims (*see* Local Rule 26-1(e)), file amended pleadings, to dismiss
13 for lack of jurisdiction, or to transfer venue.

14 **f. Complexity:** a discussion regarding the complexity of the case,
15 and whether all or part of the procedures of the Manual for Complex Litigation
16 should be utilized. *See* Local Rule 26-1(a).

17 **g. Status of Discovery:** a report regarding the current status of
18 discovery, including whether initial disclosures have been made and a summary
19 of any completed discovery.

20 **h. Discovery Plan:** The parties must set forth a detailed discovery
21 plan that discusses all the Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f)(3)(A)-(F) topics,
22 including topics related to initial disclosures, the anticipated subjects of discovery,
23 the time needed for discovery, issues related to electronically stored information
24 (“ESI”), issues related to privileged materials, whether changes to limitations on
25 discovery should be made, issues related to protective orders, and any other Rule
26 16(b) or 16(c) issues. The parties must propose a discovery cutoff date for the
27 completion of fact discovery.

28 ///

1 **i. Expert Discovery:** The parties shall discuss the timing of expert
2 disclosures and discovery. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2); Local Rule 26-1(f).

3 **j. Dispositive motions:** The parties shall set forth a description
4 of the issues or claims that any party believes may be determined by motion for
5 summary judgment or partial summary judgment. *See* Local Rule 26-1(b).

6 **k. Alternative Dispute Resolution (“ADR”) Procedure Selection:**
7 The parties must select *either* ADR Procedure No. 2 (Court Mediation Panel) *or*
8 ADR Procedure No. 3 (private mediation); ADR Procedure No. 1 (conference with
9 the magistrate judge) *may not* be selected by the parties. *See generally* General
10 Order 11-10, § 5.1; Local Rule 26-1(c). For more information about the Court’s
11 ADR Program, please visit the “ADR” section of the Court website,
12 <http://www.cacd.uscourts.gov>.

13 **l. Settlement Efforts:** Without disclosing the substance of the
14 communications, the parties shall advise the Court regarding whether they have
15 discussed settlement or had written communications regarding settlement. The
16 parties are advised that no case will proceed to trial unless all parties, including
17 the principals of all corporate parties, have appeared personally at a mediation.

18 **m. Preliminary Trial Estimate:** The parties must provide a
19 realistic estimate of the time required for trial. *See* Local Rule 26-1(d). The parties’
20 estimate is for planning purposes only; the Court may allot fewer days for trial.
21 The parties shall specify whether trial will be by jury or to the Court, and each side
22 must specify the number of witnesses it expects to call.

23 **n. Trial counsel:** the name(s) of the attorney(s) who will try the
24 case.

25 **o. Independent Expert or Master:** The parties must advise the
26 Court whether this is a case in which a master pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil
27 Procedure 53 or an independent scientific expert should be appointed. The
28 appointment of a master may be appropriate if there are likely to be substantial

discovery disputes, numerous claims to be construed in connection with a summary judgment motion, a lengthy *Daubert* hearing, or resolution of a difficult computation of damages.

p. Other issues: a statement of any other issues affecting the status or management of the case (*e.g.*, unusually complicated technical or technological issues, disputes over protective orders, extraordinarily voluminous document production, non-English speaking witnesses, discovery in foreign jurisdictions, etc.) and any proposals concerning severance, bifurcation, or other ordering of proof.

2. Notice to be Provided by Counsel

Plaintiff's counsel shall provide this Order to any parties who first appear after the date of this Order and to parties who are known to exist but have not yet entered appearances. If plaintiff is appearing pro se, but defendant is represented by counsel, defendant's counsel shall provide this notice.

3. Disclosures to Clients

Counsel are ordered to deliver to their respective clients a copy of this Order, the Court's trial order, and the Scheduling order.

4. Class Actions

The parties are directed to the portion of Judge Staton's Procedures web page (<http://www.cacd.uscourts.gov/honorable-josephine-l-staton>.) regarding class action scheduling issues. As explained in further detail on the web page, the parties are directed to discuss class action scheduling issues in their Joint Rule 26(f) Report.

5. Utility Patent Cases

a. Presumptive Schedule and Exhibit B: In patent cases, the Court intends generally to follow the Northern District of California Patent Local Rules.

1 However, the Court's presumptive schedule differs from that set forth in the
2 Northern District Rules and, where it does, the Court's presumptive schedule
3 controls. In patent cases, counsel should review, prepare, and attach the Court's
4 Joint Rule 26(f) Report utility patent-specific Exhibit B (in lieu of the Exhibit A).
5 Exhibit B is available on Judge Staton's Procedures web page.

6 **b. Technology Tutorial:** Because the Court may be unfamiliar with
7 the technology underlying many litigated patents, the parties should consider the
8 most efficient and effective manner in which to educate the Court regarding that
9 technology. Counsel must file a joint status report setting forth their proposal(s) no
10 later than 60 days in advance of the claim construction hearing. If the Court deems
11 it appropriate, the Court will schedule an in-Court technology tutorial or make other
12 appropriate orders. Counsel are strongly encouraged to present any educational
13 materials in a manner that is free of advocacy and unencumbered by each side's
14 respective litigation position(s).

15 The Court thanks the parties and their counsel for their anticipated cooperation
16 in complying with the requirements set forth in this Order.

17 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

18
19 Dated: June 20, 2025

JOSEPHINE L. STATON
United States District Judge

20
21 **Revised: September 12, 2023**
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

~~EXHIBIT A~~PROPOSED SCHEDULE OF PRETRIAL AND TRIAL DATES

CASE NAME:

CASE NO:

Matter	Deadline	Plaintiff(s) Request	Defendant(s) Request
Last Day to File Motions to Add Parties and Amend Pleadings	Scheduling Conference Date plus 8 weeks		
Fact Discovery Cut-Off	Depending on case complexity, generally a Friday between 6-9 months after the Scheduling Conference		
Last Day to Serve Initial Expert Reports	2 weeks after Fact Discovery Cut-Off		
Last Day to File Motions (except <i>Daubert</i> and all other Motions in Limine)	2 weeks after Fact Discovery Cut-Off		
Last Day to Serve Rebuttal Expert Reports	6 weeks after Fact Discovery Cut-Off		
Last Day to Conduct Settlement Proceedings	9 weeks after Fact Discovery Cut-Off		
Expert Discovery Cut-Off	10 weeks after Fact Discovery Cut-Off		
Last Day to File <i>Daubert</i> Motions:	1 week after Expert Discovery Cut-Off		
Last Day to File Motions in Limine:	4 weeks before the FPTC		
Final Pre-Trial Conference (Friday at 10:30 a.m.)	22 weeks after Fact Discovery Cut-Off		

Revised: September 12, 2023